

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/625,472	07/22/2003	Christopher S. Kanel	00467/000M290-US0 9885	
7278	7590 10/05/2004	,	EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C. P. O. BOX 5257			PASCHALL, MARK H	
NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3742	
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/625,472	KANEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark H Paschall	3742
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	· ·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
·— ·	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 June 2004 is/are: a	)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is of xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07-03</u> .	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/625,472

Art Unit: 3742

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title would be more representative of the invention if amended to reflect use of Led's to select heating levels or modes of operation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1,3,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montagnino et al in view of Chang. Montagnino et al teach a controller for a heating

Application/Control Number: 10/625,472

Art Unit: 3742

pad which uses duty cycle control and include flash heat up in a predetermined time, as claimed, but does not specifically show use of a counter in the oscillator circuit to effect the timing.

Such use is conventional, as set forth in Chang and in view of Chang it would have been obvious to modify the Montagnino et al system to specifically use a counter based control scheme to effect the timing since such use haves been shown as producing an accurate temperature control process, as advanced by Chang. In column 7, first paragraph, Montagnino et al set froth use of rapid heating, as claimed in claim 4, for a predetermined time period.

Claims 2 and 5-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montagnino et al in view of Chang, as set froth in the preceding paragraph, further in view of Gemmell et al. In view of Gemmell et al teaching that Led's can be use as input selector means, as advanced in the instant claims, it would have been obvious to modify the Montagnino et al to use an Led input selector to choose input modes of heating, since such means in one of many types that is effective and accurate for selecting control information in control systems. Use of a Schmidt trigger and skip latch as set forth in claims 7 and 8, and use of particular switches as claimed in the dependent claims, are considered obvious choices in design for the artisan, in consideration of the widespread use of the same in heating control systems.

Art Unit: 3742

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Takada et al are cited for disclosing pertinent heating control systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 703 308-2634. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

المركوبي Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742